

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,003	01/17/2001		Evgeny Ivanovich Temovsky	U 013214-0	1522
140	7590	11/17/2003		EXAMINER	
LADAS &		ET	SY, MARIANO ONG		
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3683	3683
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\sim N$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/744,003	TERNOVSKY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this and the	Mariano Sy	3683
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover she it with the c	orrespond nce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-7 is/are withdrawn</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 13 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	·	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the for drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) it sentence of the specification or existence of the specification or controlled in the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  d in this National Stage  d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Final Rejection filed on May 19, 2003 is hereby withdrawn by the examiner. 1. 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaoka (U.S. patent Number 5,219,414).

Re-claim 1 Yamaoka discloses, as shown in fig. 1-3, a method for adjusting resistance force of a liquid damper having a cavity divided into two chambers by a piston 10 for the volume of one of the chambers to reduce as the volume of the other of the chambers expands during movement of the piston, a channel 13,14 which couples to one of the chambers to create a resistance force to mechanical energy on the piston, means 29,30 to adjust a flow cross section of the channel depending on excessive pressure acting on a movable element 15,16 of a valve oppositely to an elastic element 20,23 of the valve, wherein the flow cross section is adjusted relative to a position of the piston in the cavity for a constant value of the excessive pressure.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,003 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Le The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaoka (U.S. patent Number 5,219,414).

Re-claim 1 Yamaoka discloses, as shown in fig. 1-3, a method for adjusting resistance force of a liquid damper having a cavity divided into two chambers by a piston 10 for the volume of one of the chambers to reduce as the volume of the other of the chambers expands during movement of the piston, a channel 13,14 which couples to one of the chambers to create a resistance force to mechanical energy on the piston, means 29,30 to adjust a flow cross section of the channel depending on excessive

Art Unit: 3683

ľ

pressure acting on a movable element 15,16 of a valve oppositely to an elastic element . 20,23 of the valve.

However Yamaoka was silent to disclose wherein the flow cross section is adjusted relative to a position of the piston in the cavity for a constant value of the excessive pressure.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have adjusted the flow cross section relative to the position of the piston in the cavity for a constant value of the excessive pressure so as to stabilize the excessive pressure on the valve of the piston.

- 7. Claims 8 and 13 are allowed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 703-308-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder, can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Art Unit: 3683

M. Sy

November 12, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3300